

# Comparison of Lipid Content and Fatty Acid Composition of Malted and Non-Malted Barley

Keri Alhadi Ighwela

Faculty of Marine Resources, Al - Asmarya University, Zliten, Libya

**Abstract**— Lipid content and fatty acid composition were determined in trial samples (Malted and Non-Malted Barley). The lipid content did not exceed 2.7% in any trial samples. Moreover, there is a significant difference between the lipid ( $p > 0.05$ ) contents of Malted and Non-Malted Barley samples. It is a higher percentage in the non-malted barley than that the malted barley. Analysis of the fatty acids by chromatography has shown, the highest percentage of fatty acid in both trial samples, the Linolenic and Linoleic acids concentrations were higher in the Non-Malted Barley samples (50.424%) and (48.144%) respectively as compared to the Malted Barley samples (25.374%) and (45.958%) respectively; small amounts of Elaidic acid (0.759%) and Arachidic acid (0.798%) are also found. The results of this study show that, the compositions of fatty acids of malted are very similar to those of the non-barley used to make them.

**Keywords**— Lipid, Fatty acid composition, malted, Non-Malted Barley

## I. INTRODUCTION

Barley is one of the most important agricultural crops, grown in large amounts around the world and ranked fourth among top 10 crop plants in the world behind wheat, rice and maize (Taketae *et al.* 2008). According to the latest USDA report, the world barley production was approximately 134 million tonnes in 2011. Barley is an economically important cereals and traditional used in the production of malt, human food and animal feed (Ighwela *et al.* 2011). In addition, the Malt is a cereal that can be steeped, germinated under controlled conditions (moisture, temperature and time) and dried either by sun or an oven (Beta, *et al.* 1995). In 1999 Bhatti conducted an analysis of the nutritional characteristics of barley and it has a different nutritional composition from other crops. Study of chemical composition parameters of barley are very important for malt quality parameters (protein, lipid and carbohydrate), and also allow the identification of relationships between these parameters (Knežević *et al.* 2004). Based on the fact that the malt is one of the main raw

sources for brewing, its quality must be severely evaluated (Savin and Molina-Cano, 2002). Lipids are considered the third storage material in barley and malt. The level of lipid detected in barley grains has been estimated by numerous authors as between 0.85 and 4.8% on a dry weight basis (Anness, 1984., Osman *et al.*, 2002., Braviet *et al.*, 2012., Makeriet *et al.* 2013). In addition, estimation of lipid as total long-chain fatty acids is specific and gives information on the components of most importance in malted and non-malted barley. Furthermore, the difference in the fatty acid structure of the lipids involved is important because net increases in lipid content may lead to changes in overall fatty acid structure, maybe resulting in rancidity problems during storage (De La Roche *et al.* 1976). However, little information has been published on direct measurements of lipid content and fatty acid composition of malted and non-malted barley. Consequently, the purpose of this study was to examine the changes in lipid content and fatty acids composition between malted and non-malted barley.

## II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Sources of barley seeds

For this study, barley samples used were from Libya. They were obtained from a local market. The grain was cleaned and stored for analysis until being used, at room temperature. All the tests were performed in triplicate on a dry weight basis.

### Malting

The barley was steeped at 28-30°C for 20 hrs by placing them in perforated nylon bags (Morallet *et al.* 1986, Taylor and Dewar 1992). After steeping, the grains were treated in 2% of sodium hypochlorite solution for 10 mins and then washed five times with excess water. Steeped barley was germinated at 28°C for seven days in a germinator equipped with a humidifier. The germinated seeds were dried for 24 hrs at 45°C in an oven (Memmert, Germinay). The samples of barley and malted barley were placed in a Grinding Mill (Model 4L) and the flour was sieved through a 250 µm sieve and stored for analysis.

### Extraction of Lipids

The lipids were extracted from barley and malted barley according to method as described in AOAC (1990) method using 2055 Sextet Avanti Extraction unit. Briefly, lipids were extracted from barley and malted barley by using petroleum extraction. The method depends upon the heating of solvent, which is allowed to pass through the sample to extract the lipid. The extract was collected in a cup and, when the process is completed, the solvent is evaporated and the remaining crude lipid is dried and weighed. Lipid contents were routinely determined in triplicate.

### Fatty acid analysis

The fatty acid analysis determinations were performed on samples of Malted and Non-Malted Barley according to Abdulkadir and Tsuchiya, 2008. The samples were homogenized, freeze-dried for 24 h, and stored at  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  before analysis. Three replicates of each barley and malted barley samples (200-300mg) were mixed with 4 ml of hexane and 1 ml of internal standard solution in a 50 ml centrifuge tube. After adding 2ml of 14%  $\text{BF}_3$  in methanol and a magnetic stirring bar, the head space of tube was flushed with nitrogen gas and then closed tightly with a Teflon-lined screw-cap. The capped tube was heated on a hot plate at  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 120 min under continuous stirring. After cooling temperature, one ml of hexane was added followed by 2 ml of distilled water. The tube was then shaken vigorously for 1 min and centrifuged for 3 min at 2500 rpm (650xg). Of the two phases which formed, the upper phase was hexane layer containing the fatty acid methyl ester (FAMES). Finally, 1-2ml of the hexane layer was transferred using a Pasteur pipette into a clean sample vial to be injected into GC- FID for FAME analysis.

Fatty acid concentrations (CFA, mg/g of dry sample) were calculated by comparing the peak area of fatty acid in the sample with the peak area of internal standard as follows:

$$\text{CFA} = \text{AS}/\text{AIS} \times \text{CIS}/\text{WS}$$

AS= peak area of fatty acid in the sample in chromatogram

AIS= peak area of internal standard in chromatogram

CIS= concentration of internal standard (mg)

WS= weight of sample (g)

Qualitatively (as a percentage), composition of individual fatty acids were calculated by comparing the peak area of each fatty acid with the total peak area of all fatty acids in the sample.

### Gas chromatography (GC) analysis

The FAMES were separated and quantified by gas chromatography equipped with mass spectrometer (GCMS-

QP2010 Ultra). Separation was performed with column ppm5-5 (30m length x 0.25 mm internal diameter, 0.15 $\mu\text{m}$  thickness). Under pressure 50.0 kPa, with column flow 0.96 mL/min, linear velocity 35.5 cm/sec, and helium was used as carrier gas. 1 $\mu\text{l}$  of FAMES samples in hexane were injected at  $50^{\circ}\text{C}$  and hold for 1 min then the oven temperature was raised to  $300^{\circ}\text{C}$  at a rate of  $5^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ , and then finally held constant for 5 min.

### III. ANALYSIS OF DATA

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out using SPSS 20 Software to determine level of significance at 95%, and the means of percentage of individual fatty acids, and its standard deviations (SD).

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total lipid content (% ether extract) obtained from the current study ranged from 2.70 to 2.74 % in samples of non-malted barley and in samples of malted barley varied from 1.72 to 2.11 (Table 1). These results are similar which found by (Oscarsson et al, 1996) and were lower than values have been reported by Anness, 1984, Osman et al, 2002 and Makeri, et al. (2013), but were higher than values obtained by (Fedak and Roche 1977). In addition, the lipid content of together showed a significant difference; it is a higher percentage of lipid in the non-malted barley than that the malted barley. The low levels of lipid obtained from the malted barley samples were expected because the cereal used was carbohydrate source with a higher level of simple sugar (Lewis and Young, 1995). However, the low lipid content of the malted barley is desirable because lipids can destroy foaming capacities of malt (Okafor and Aniche, 1980).

**Table 1.** Means and ranges of lipid content in Malted and

Dietary group	Mean lipid content	Range in lipid content
Non-Malted Barley	2.73 $\pm$ 0.02a	2.70-2.74
Malted Barley	1.94 $\pm$ 0.19b	1.72-2.11

The total and individual fatty acid concentrations of in Malted and Non-Malted Barley are presented in Table 2. As many as 11 fatty acids were identified using the one-step method in Malted and Non-Malted Barley samples, 5 fatty acids in Non-Malted Barley samples and 10 fatty acids in Malted Barley samples. The predominant fatty acids in Non-Malted Barley were  $\gamma$ -Linolenic acid and Linolelaidic

acid with an overall mean  $50.424 \pm 1.208$  % and  $48.144 \pm 1.273$  % respectively. It is followed in decreasing amounts by Eicosapentaenoic with mean  $(2.028 \pm 0.0\%)$  and Palmitic with mean  $(1.509 \pm 0.0\%)$ . Trace amount from Elaidic  $(0.759 \pm 0.0)$ . On other hands, the Linolelaidic acid is the major fatty acid in Malted Barley samples with an overall mean of  $45.958 \pm 0.917\%$ . It is followed in decreasing amounts by  $\gamma$ -Linolenic acid  $(25.374 \pm 0.506\%)$ , Stearic acid  $(18.474 \pm 0.369\%)$ , Palmitic acid  $(2.707 \pm 0.054\%)$ , Lauric acid  $(2.322 \pm 0.046\%)$ , Linolenic acid  $(2.123 \pm 0.042\%)$ , Eicosadienoic acid  $(1.904 \pm 0.038 \%)$  and Behenic acid  $(1.778 \pm 0.0\%)$ . Trace amount from Arachidic acid  $(0.798 \pm 0.0\%)$ . In addition, the shorter carbon-chained fatty acids, C12:0 were major components in the surface lipids and were found only in the malted barley lipids, while in Non-Malted Barley were found in fatty acid with C16:0. Further, the highest percentage of fatty acid in both trial samples, the Linolenic and Linolelaidic acids concentrations were higher in the Non-Malted Barley samples ( $50.424\%$ ) and ( $48.144\%$ ) respectively as compared to the Malted Barley samples ( $25.374\%$ ) and ( $45.958\%$ ) respectively.

These compositional differences are most likely due to deterioration of the grain during storage, (Pomeranz, 1966). Differences in fatty acid composition of the trial samples lipids and the surface lipids did not reflect increasing germination properties of barley during the storage period. Fedak and Roche 1977, reported similar results for the fatty acid composition in the Non-Malted Barley and Malted Barley. However were lower than values have been reported by Bhatti and Rossagel, 1980,

**Table 2.** Means of Fatty Acids Components of Malted and Non-Malted Barley

Designation	Fatty acid	Mean Non-Malted Barley	Mean malted barley
C12:0	Lauric	non	$2.322 \pm 0.046$
C16:0	Palmitic	$1.509 \pm 0.000$	$2.707 \pm 0.054$
C18:0	Stearic	non	$18.474 \pm 0.369$
C18:1(n-9)	Elaidic	$0.759 \pm 0.000$	$0.839 \pm 0.000$
C18:2(n-6)	Linolelaidic	$48.144 \pm 1.273$	$45.958 \pm 0.917$
C18:3(n-3)	$\gamma$ -Linolenic	$50.424 \pm 1.208$	$25.374 \pm 0.506$
C18:3n3	Linolenic	non	$2.123 \pm 0.042$
C20:0	Arachidic	non	$0.798 \pm 0.000$
C20:2(n-6)	Eicosadienoic	non	$1.904 \pm 0.038$
C20:5n3	Eicosapentaenoic	$2.028 \pm 0.000$	non
C22:0	Behenic	non	$1.778 \pm 0.000$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.O.A.C. (1990). Official Methods of Analysis 16th edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, Washington D.C.
- [2] Abdulkadir, S. and Tsuchiya, M. 2008. One-step method for quantitative and qualitative analysis of fatty acids in marine animal samples. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 354, pp. 1-8.
- [3] Anness, B.J., 1984: Lipids of barley malt and adjuncts. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing*, 90: 315-318.
- [4] Beta T. Rooney L. W. and Waniska R. D. 1995. Malting Characteristic of sorghum cultivars. American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc.
- [5] Bhatti, R. S. (1999). The potential of hull-less barley. *Cereal Chemistry*, 76(5), 589-599.
- [6] Bravi E., Marconi O., Perretti G., Fantozzi P. (2012) Influence of barley variety and malting process on lipid content of malt" *Food Chemistry*, 135 : 1112–1117.
- [7] De La Roche, I. A., Burrows, V. D. And McKenzie, R. I. H. 1976. Variation in lipid composition among strains of oats. *Crop Sciences*.
- [8] Fedak, G., and Roche, I.D., 1977. Lipid and fatty acid composition of barley kernels. *Candia journal of plant science*. 57: 257-260.
- [9] Ighwela, K.A., A.B. Ahmad., Zain, A. M., and A.B. Abol-Munafi. 2011. Extraction and analysis of malt from germinated barley seeds. *Indian Journal Agriculture Research*, 45(3): 227- 232.
- [10] Knežević D., Pržulj N., Yečević V., Đukić N., Momčilović V., Maksimović D., Mićanović D., Dimitrijević B. (2004): Breeding strategies for barley quality improvement and wide adaptation. *Kragujevac J. Sci.* 26: 75-84.
- [11] Lewis, M. J. and Young, T. W. (1995). *Brewing*. Chapman and Hall Ltd.
- [12] Makeri, M. U., Nkama, I. and Badau, M. H. (2013) Physico-chemical, malting and biochemical properties of some improved Nigerian barley cultivars and their malts. *International Food Research Journal* 20(4): 1563-1568.
- [13] Morall, P, Body, H. K., Taylor, J. R. N., and Van Der Walt, W. H. (1986). Effect of Germination Time, Temperature, and Moisture on Malting of Sorghum. *J. Inst. Brew.* 92:439-445.
- [14] Okafor, N. and Aniche, G.N. (1980). Brewing Lager Beer from Nigerian Sorghum. *Brewing and Distilling International* 10: 32- 35.

- [15] Oscarsson, M., Andersson, R., Salomonsson, A.-C. and man, P. A. 1996. Chemical Composition of Barley Samples Focusing on Dietary Fibre Components. *Journal of Cereal Science* 24 :161–170
- [16] Osman, A. M. (2002). The advantages of using natural substrate-based methods in assessing the roles and synergistic and competitive interactions of barley malt starch-degrading enzymes. *J. Inst. Brew.* 108:204-214.
- [17] Pomeranz, Y. Wallerstein Laboratories Communications, 1966, vol. 26, 17-26.
- [18] Romagosa, I. 2002. *Barley Science: Recent advances from molecular biology to agronomy of yield and quality*, New York: The Haworth Press, pp. 523-549. ISBN 978-1560229100.
- [19] Savin, R., Molina-Cano, J. L. 2002. Changes in malting quality and its determinants in response to abiotic stresses. In Slafer, G. A., Molina-Cano, J. L., Savin, R., Araus, J. L., J. L., Romagosa, I. 2002. *Barley Science: Recent advances from molecular biology to agronomy of yield and quality*, New York : The Haworth Press, pp. 523-549. ISBN 978-1560229100.
- [20] Taketa et al (2008). Barley Grain with Adhering Hulls is controlled by an ERF Family Transcription Factor Gene Regulating a lipid Biosynthesis Pathway. *The National Academy of Sciences of the USA*.
- [21] Taylor, J. R. N., and Dewar, J. (1992). Sorghum Malting Technology. 55-72 in: *Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Quadrennial Symp. Sorghum and Millets*. ICC: Paris.